

Table II: Δ_p for Aqueous $MnSO_4$ Solutions at 25°

$C \times 10^4$ ^a	$P, \text{ atm.}$				
	1	500	1000	1500	2000
0	133.2 ^b	135.0	135.3	134.5	133.0
5	116.3 ^b	118.7	120.3	120.3	119.6
10	108.7 ^b	111.6	113.3	113.8	113.4
20	99.7 ^b	103.3	105.4	106.2	106.3
100	75.2 ^c	79.6	82.6	84.5	85.4
200	65.9 ^c	70.4	73.7	75.8	77.0

^a C is atmospheric pressure concentration in moles/liter.^b C. J. Hallada and G. Atkinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **83**, 3759 (1961). ^c Measured this experiment.**Table III:** Degree of Association ($1 - \alpha$) for Aqueous $MnSO_4$ at 25°

$C \times 10^4$ ^a	$P, \text{ atm.}$				
	1	500	1000	1500	2000
5	0.070	0.065	0.055	0.050	0.046
10	0.115	0.105	0.094	0.085	0.079
20	0.172	0.155	0.140	0.129	0.119
100	0.345	0.316	0.292	0.271	0.255
200	0.415	0.383	0.355	0.332	0.314

^a C is atmospheric pressure concentration in moles/liter.**Table IV:** Molal Dissociation Constant (K_m) and $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ for Aqueous $MnSO_4$ at 25°

$P, \text{ atm.}$	$C, \text{ atm. press. concn., } M$				
	0.0005	0.001	0.002	0.01	0.02
1	0.0044	0.0044	0.0046	0.0052	0.0060
500	0.0048	0.0050	0.0053	0.0063	0.0073
1000	0.0059	0.0057	0.0062	0.0073	0.0086
1500	0.0066	0.0065	0.0069	0.0084	0.0099
2000	0.0073	0.0072	0.0077	0.0094	0.0111

	$\Delta \bar{V}^0, \text{ cc./mole}^a$				
	1	500	1000	1500	2000
1	-7.4	-7.1	-8.0	-9.3	-10.0
2000	-5.3	-5.0	-4.8	-5.0	-5.0

^a $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ was calculated by a least-squares fit of $\log K$ to a quadratic curve.**Table V:** Comparison of $K_m(P = 2000)/K_m(P = 1)$ for $MgSO_4$ and $MnSO_4$ Aqueous Solutions at 25°

C, M	$MgSO_4$	$MnSO_4$
0.0005	1.9	1.7
0.001	1.8	1.6
0.002	1.7	1.7
0.01	1.8	1.8
0.02	1.7	1.9

It is seen that at atmospheric pressure the value of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$, as concentration decreases, approaches that predicted from the Fuoss theory, namely, -7.4 cc./mole. There appears to be a concentration dependence of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ at atmospheric pressure but not at 2000 atm.

A comparison in Table V of the ratios of the equilibrium constants at 2000 and 1 atm. indicates that a

Table VI: Comparison of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ for $MgSO_4$ and $MnSO_4$ Aqueous Solutions at 25° and 1 Atm.

C, M	$\Delta \bar{V}^0, \text{ cc./mole}$	
	$MgSO_4$	$MnSO_4$
0.0005	-8.5	-7.4
0.001	-7.0	-7.1
0.002	-7.0	-8.0
0.01	-7.3	-9.3
0.02	-6.9	-10.0

Table VII: Cell Constants^a

Cell constants at atm. press. Concn., M	L_1	Press. dependence of cell constant	
		$P, \text{ atm.}$	L^*_p
0.0005	0.808	500	0.991
0.001	0.811	1000	0.986
0.002	0.812	1500	0.982
0.01	0.824	2000	0.979
0.02	0.829		

^a To find cell constant L_p at pressure P multiply atmospheric pressure value L_1 by L^*_p .**Table VIII:** Copy of Original Conductivity Data Measured for Electrolytes at 25° in Aqueous Solutions (Teflon Cell without Glass Bar)

	$P, \text{ atm.}$					
	1	500	1000	1500	2000	1 ^a
0.02 M KCl, mmhos	3.338	3.484	3.582	3.639	3.670	3.322
$MnSO_4$	3.118	3.436	3.681	3.868	4.008	3.104
K_2SO_4	5.769	6.046	6.245	6.381	6.456	5.742
$MnCl_2$	4.941	5.197	5.374	5.482	5.539	4.934
0.01 M KCl, mmhos	1.715	1.790	1.840	1.871	1.886	1.709
$MnSO_4$	1.812	1.977	2.101	2.196	2.264	1.802
0.002 M KCl, μ mhos	359.7	375.0	385.4	391.6	394.8	358.7
$MnSO_4$	486.7	519.9	543.8	560.3	571.5	484.5
K_2SO_4	684.4	712.6	732.3	744.6	751.4	681.2
$MnCl_2$	575.6	602.6	620.3	631.5	637.1	573.2
0.001 M KCl, μ mhos	181.8	189.9	195.0	198.2	199.9	181.3
$MnSO_4$	267.5	283.4	295.0	302.6	307.6	266.5
0.0005 M KCl, μ mhos	92.0	96.1	99.0	100.4	101.4	91.3
$MnSO_4$	144.2	152.0	157.9	161.5	163.8	144.0
K_2SO_4	181.8	189.5	194.5	197.8	199.6	181.3
$MnCl_2$	153.4	160.7	165.7	168.8	170.3	152.9
Water, μ mhos	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.3	0.8
Series lead resistance, ohms	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	

^a The readings in this column were obtained the day after the pressure run was made.

different trend as a function of concentration exists between MgSO_4 and MnSO_4 solutions.

At atmospheric pressure and at the lower concentrations the values of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ for both MnSO_4 and MgSO_4 agree with the value predicted by the Fuoss theory. For MnSO_4 there appears to be a dependence of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ on pressure which was not observed for MgSO_4 . Furthermore, there is a more noticeable concentration dependence of $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ at atmospheric pressure for MnSO_4 and in the opposite direction to that exhibited by Mg -

SO_4 , as shown in Table VI. The change in $\Delta \bar{V}^0$ is greater than would be accounted for assuming errors in Λ_p/Λ_1 to be as great as $\pm 0.5\%$.

The differences in the pressure behavior of these two salts may, in fact, be due to differences in the various ion-pair species which can be related to the differences in acoustic behavior. However, a multistate model cannot be deduced from conductivity data; these results can only provide a check for consistency of any multistate models which may be proposed.